Mittuniversitetet
MID SWEDEN UNIVERSITY

Impact beyond title

Lady Macbeth and the consequences of informal leadership in *Macbeth*

Nathali Fristedt

Independent project literature
Main field of study: Literature
Credits: 15
Semester/year: Spring 2025
Supervisor: Susan Foran Tjällén
Examiner: Charlotta Palmstierna Einarsson
Course code/registration number: EN008G
Programme: English C course

At Mid Sweden University, it is possible to publish the thesis in full text in DiVA (see appendix for publishing conditions). The publication is open access, which means that the work will be freely available to read and download online. This increases the dissemination and visibility of the degree project.

Open access is becoming the norm for disseminating scientific information online. Mid Sweden University recommends both researchers and students to publish their work open access.

I/we allow publishing in full text (free available online, open access):

☒ Yes, I/we agree to the terms of publication.

☐ No, I/we do not accept that my independent work is published in the public interface in DiVA (only archiving in DiVA).

Uppsala län 20250605.....
Location and date

English C Course EN008G .....
Programme/Course

Nathali Fristedt.....
Name (all authors names)

1987.....

Year of birth (all authors year of birth)

Table of contents

Introduction **4**

Background **5**

Aim and approach **6**

Previous research and material **7**

Analysis **8**

Conclusion **19**

Work cited **21**

Terms of publishing **23**

Introduction

Leadership is often associated with formal authority, hierarchy, and titles (Bafandeh et al. 1). However, literature representations tend to reflect greater nuance and complexity, where influence does not always stem from positions of power, but rather from the margins. Informal leaders are those who wield influence without official status and often play a decisive role in shaping decisions, guiding group dynamics, and influencing moral direction (Bafandeh et al. 3). In Shakespeare's *Macbeth*, Lady Macbeth operates entirely outside the bounds of formal authority, yet her presence and actions profoundly affect the trajectory of both characters and events. Her leadership is enacted not through institutional command, but through psychological insight, emotional influence, and rhetorical skill.

This study addresses the complex nature of Lady Macbeth's informal leadership and how it exposes both the potential and the limits of female influence within patriarchal structures. Her ability to lead through discourse and manipulation rather than formal authority raises important questions about the sustainability of power gained through transgressive means, and how such leadership deteriorates under the pressure of guilt, gender norms, and moral collapse.

The theoretical framework primarily draws on Michel Foucault's concepts of discourse and power, as interpreted by Sara Mills, whose work helps to clarify how discourse operates in relation to for example social structures and gender (2003). While Foucault provides the foundational ideas on how discourse produces and sustains power relations, Mills contributes a critical perspective that highlights how these mechanisms function within patriarchal contexts. This approach allows the project to not only identify acts of informal leadership but to argue that Lady Macbeths influence reveals the inherent vulnerability of formal power structures when confronted with gendered and informal forms of authority.

This research is significant because it investigates how Lady Macbeth's informal leadership challenges and redefines traditional understanding of authority, not only within *Macbeth* but also in a broader social and organizational contexts. The core research problem lies in how informal leadership exercised by a woman disrupts patriarchal power hierarchies, exposing their fragility and underscoring the tension between gender, power and morality in the play. Thus, this essay explores how Lady Macbeth exemplifies a form of informal leadership that operates through psychological manipulation, moral influence and rhetorical control in order to subvert traditional power structures. At the same time, it considers whether such leadership, exercised under oppressive gendered constraints, inevitably leads to ethical collapse and psychological disintegration.

Background

William Shakespeare's *Macbeth* (composed in 1606), as edited by Sandra Clark and Pamela Mason (Arden, 2015), presents a world in which power is contested not only on the battlefield or in royal halls, but also within the domestic and psychological spaces of marriage and conscience. The play has often been approached through themes such as ambition, fate and inner conflict, with Macbeth's rise and fall at the centre. Alongside these perspectives, the character of Lady Macbeth has drawn scholarly attention for the way she influences events and decisions despite lacking formal authority.

From her first appearance in Act 1, Scene 5, Lady Macbeth demonstrates a striking presence through language. Her reaction to Macbeth's letter is calculated rather than emotional, and she quickly formulates a plan aimed at securing power, for example she states "Glamis thou art, and Cawdor, and shalt be. What thou art promised" (*Macbeth* 1.5.6-15). Her request to the spirits to "unsex me here" (*Macbeth* 1.5.32-40) has been noted by scholars such as Alfar, as an example of how she expresses a desire to step outside traditional gender roles to access influence (Alfar 3). These early moments in the play mark her as a character who operates within informal modes of authority.

This type of influence has been explored using theoretical perspectives such as Michel Foucault's understanding of power, as something exercised through discourse and knowledge rather than formal position (qtd. in Mills 50). Foucault's ideas, as interpreted by Sara Mills, provide tools for examining how power can function in subtle and complex ways within established systems. In relation to *Macbeth*, these frameworks help situate Lady Macbeth's role as one shaped by discourse and emotional persuasion rather than institutional command.

In this essay, Lady Macbeth's role is examined through a combination of perspectives including gender theory, psychology, leadership studies, and moral philosophy. These approaches are informed by previous research and highlight the complexity of the character and her informal influence including the ways in which she operates beyond traditional authority. By considering how her character engages with gender expectations, challenges hierarchical power, and reveals the consequences of influence exercised outside formal structures. Her shifting role in the play, from active strategist to a figure that deteriorates under increasing psychological and emotional strain, serves as a point of departure for exploring the interplay between power, gender, and conscience in *Macbeth*.

Aim and approach

The essay approaches the play through a focus on the intersections of gender, power and interpersonal influence, aiming to explore how these forces shape a character whose leadership is both compelling and ultimately self-destructive. The following aims outline the specific focus areas through which this study examines Lady Macbeth's role as an informal leader.

The first aim is to examine how Lady Macbeth exerts control and influence without holding formal power, in order to argue that her strategic use of manipulation, persuasion, and psychological insight aligns with traits of informal leadership and reveals how influence can be exercised effectively outside traditional hierarchies.

The second aim is to explore how Lady Macbeth's informal leadership is enacted through gendered discourse, in order to argue that her rhetorical strategies both subvert and reinforce the patriarchal norms she operates within. This discussion is informed by theoretical perspectives such as Foucault's concept of discourse and power, as interpreted by Sara Mills (2003).

The third aim is to explore the consequences of Lady Macbeth's leadership on both individual psychology and moral development, in order to argue that her influence results not only in Macbeth's ethical unravelling but also in her own psychological disintegration.

The fourth and final aim is to investigate the ethical implications of informal leadership under oppressive gender norms, in order to argue that Lady Macbeth's downfall illustrates the dangers inherent in exercising power through manipulation within a system that ultimately punishes female agency. This ethical dimension is crucial for understanding how her trajectory reveals the limits and costs of influence when moral boundaries are repeatedly transgressed for the sake of control.

Through analysis of key scenes, rhetorical strategies, and character interaction, the project adopts a conceptual and theory-informed literary approach that bridges textual analysis with broader discussions of discourse, power, and leadership. In doing so, it contributes to a deeper understanding of how informal and gendered leadership is represented in the play, and how such representations resonate with contemporary debates in social, psychological, and organizational contexts.

Previous research and material

This project is based on *Macbeth* by William Shakespeare, using the 2015 third edition edited by Sandra Clark and Pamela Mason (Arden Shakespeare). This edition provides detailed commentary and textual notes. The editorial choices and annotations are helpful in examining the complex construction of Lady Macbeth's character. It serves as the primary text for the present study.

While *Macbeth* has traditionally been examined through themes such as ambition, guilt, and fate, recent scholarship has increasingly explored the concept of informal leadership in the play. This aligns closely with the argument that Lady Macbeth embodies a form of informal leadership that, despite lacking formal authority, exerts significant influence through gendered discourse and psychological manipulation.

Leadership-focused studies provide insight into how Lady Macbeth's influence operates outside traditional hierarchies. Hagel (2015) distinguishes between authentic and transformational leadership, positioning Lady Macbeth's methods as manipulative rather than value-based. Kumar, Usama, and Ali (2024) use McClelland's Theory of Needs to argue that Lady Macbeth's emotional and psychological support motivates Macbeth's actions, exemplifying how informal leadership can direct formal power holders. These works relate directly to the project's first aim, which examines Lady Macbeth's exertion of control without formal authority.

Feminist and gender-focused scholarship investigates how Lady Macbeth's leadership is shaped by and challenges patriarchal norms. Alfar (1997) emphasizes her subversion of traditional femininity through performance and persuasion, while Cheng (2023) discusses her dominant yet marginalized position within gendered power structures. These perspectives support the project's second aim of analysing the enactment of informal leadership through gendered discourse.

Psychological and ethical studies explore the consequences of Lady Macbeth's leadership on individual morality and mental health. AlShalan (2024) and Boyuan (2024) apply Freudian psychoanalysis to her psychological decline, while Kaličanin and Miladinović (2019) and Putra (2019) focus on the moral disintegration resulting from the characters' ambition and manipulation. These insights correspond with the project's third and fourth aims, which consider the psychological unravelling and ethical implications of informal leadership.

By situating this study within these areas of previous research, the project aims to offer a nuanced understanding of Lady Macbeth's informal leadership. It seeks to complement existing scholarship by combining different perspectives, illustrating how Lady Macbeth's influence directs Macbeth's actions while also highlighting the fragility and moral challenges of informal power.

Analysis

Before delving into the specific mechanisms through which Lady Macbeth exerts her influence, it is essential to understand how informal leadership operates in social contexts. Unlike formal authority, which is tied to rank or position, informal leadership emerges through personal influence, strategic interaction, and psychological engagement (Bafandeh et al. 2–3). In *Macbeth*, this distinction becomes particularly important, as Lady Macbeth's leadership unfolds through social exchange rather than institutional power (Hagel 18).

The following sections will explore how her enacted power and social influence exemplify core principles of informal leadership, shaping not only Macbeth's behaviour but also the moral trajectory of the play as a whole. In alignment with the aims of this essay, the subsequent sections will examine how Lady Macbeth exerts power without formal authority, the role of gender in her influence, and the consequences of informal leadership, all of which are central to understanding the broader implications of informal leadership.

Enacted Power and Social Influence in Macbeth

Informal leadership often exerts a more significant impact on groups than formal authority, particularly when leaders possess the ability to influence and guide individuals toward specific goals without relying on official positions (Bafandeh et al 1). Lady Macbeth in Shakespeare's *Macbeth* exemplifies this kind of informal leadership, using persuasive tactics and psychological influence to steer Macbeth's actions without holding any formal power herself. Her leadership highlights how informal leaders rely on social influence mechanisms such as compliance, identification, and internalization to affect behaviour, drawing on both normative and informational pressures to secure loyalty and shape decisions as mentioned by Bafandeh et al (3). Lady Macbeth's intention "That I may pour my spirits in thine ear" (*Macbeth* 1.5.31-25) vividly illustrates her strategy of influencing Macbeth's mind set through persuasive and emotionally charged language, rather than through any external or official authority.

Informal leaders derive their influence from various sources of power, personality, ownership, and organizational structures. Though in modern contexts, personal attributes such as persuasiveness, specialized knowledge, social skills, and charisma often hold the greatest importance (Bafandeh et al 4–6). Lady Macbeth's ability to manipulate Macbeth reflects the critical role of personal traits like insight, and rhetorical skill in securing influence within informal structures. Traits such as similarity, attraction, and the ability to create a sense of obligation further enhance an informal leader's capacity to mobilize others (Bafandeh et al 6). This is exemplified when Lady Macbeth tells Macbeth, "Only look up clear; to alter favour ever is to fear. Leave all the rest to me" (*Macbeth* 1.5.72). In this moment, she assumes control over the situation with confidence and composure, embodying the informal leader who reassures and guides through personal influence rather than formal power.

Sara Mills, drawing on Michel Foucault's theories, offers a broader conceptualization of power that enriches this understanding. Power, according to Foucault, is not simply a possession held by dominant agents over the powerless, rather it circulates through networks and is performed in everyday practices (Mills 50). Individuals are not merely passive recipients of power but active participants who can both exercise and resist it. Lady Macbeth operates within this framework, enacting power through her relationship with Macbeth and within the broader social order, manipulating and reinforcing power structures through performance rather than formal authority. Foucault's conception of power, as presented by Sara Mills (51), challenges traditional notions of power as purely repressive and centralized. Instead, Foucault views power relations as deeply embedded in everyday practices, often invisible and difficult to trace. Mills quotes him: "The relations of power are perhaps among the best hidden things in the social body… to investigate what might be most hidden in the relations of power" (qtd. in Mills 51). This understanding is important for analysing Lady Macbeth's leadership. Her influence is neither formal nor institutional, rather, it operates through subtle and local practices influencing through her language, her presence, and her ability to manipulate desire and fear. Foucault also emphasizes that power is not solely repressive, but productive and that it generates actions, identities, as well as relationships (qtd. in Mills 51). Lady Macbeth constructs a new moral logic in which violence and ambition become legitimate means of attaining power. In persuading Macbeth to kill Duncan, she does not merely exert control, she produces a new framework for action (*Macbeth* 1.7.37-45). She is not just a hindrance or a pressure, but a producer of meaning and norms, a manifestation of the productive force of power that Foucault identifies.

Connecting these insights, Lady Macbeth demonstrates how informal leaders operate within networks of power, social influence, and strategic psychological tactics to achieve their aims. Her story shows how leadership without formal authority can profoundly alter individual behaviour and broader societal outcomes, particularly in terms of psychological strain and identity conflict.

Psychological dynamics of informal leadership

Lady Macbeth's psychological unravelling in *Macbeth* offers a study of ambition, guilt, and internal conflict. Classical psychoanalytic theories, such as Freud's model of the unconscious, illuminate how unchecked desires, when unmoderated by the superego can drive the ego into collapse, resulting in neurosis or psychosis. This framework aligns closely with Lady Macbeth's progression. Initially, she appears composed and manipulative, showing traits associated with narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy, encouraging Macbeth to pursue power at all costs (qtd. in Jose 4). "And to be more than what you were, you would be so much more the man" (*Macbeth* 1.7.47-52). In this line, Lady Macbeth manipulates Macbeth by questioning his masculinity, using emotional pressure to persuade him to follow her will. Her calculated dominance is evident in how she ridicules his hesitation, fuelling his actions. Yet, as the consequences of their ambition unfold, her sense of control weakens. Guilt begins to manifest through compulsive behaviours such as obsessive handwashing and sleepwalking, signalling a descent into psychological distress (Jose 4). Ironically, earlier in the play she herself warns Macbeth, "These deeds must not be though. After these ways; so, it will make us mad" (Macbeth 2.2.21-35). This moment reveals her recognition of the mental danger in dwelling on their crimes, insight she is ultimately powerless to heed.

"Out, damned spot! Out, I say! One; two. Why then, 'tis time to do't. Hell is murky. Fie, my lord, fie, A soldier, and afeard? What need we fear who knows it, when none can call our power to account? Yet who would have thought the old man to have had so much blood in him?" (*Macbeth* 5.1.30-35). In this scene, Lady Macbeth appears to be hallucinating, imagining bloodstains on her hands that cannot be washed away. The imagery reflects her overwhelming guilt, and the fragmented structure of her speech conveys deep psychological distress. Her words reveal a growing frustration and moral torment that has begun to consume her from within. She also obsessively mutters, "The thane of Fife had a wife. Where is she now?" (*Macbeth* 5.1.58-45), suggesting that even the murder of Lady Macduff, a deed she did not directly commit has penetrated her conscience. This fragmented utterance points to how her psyche has internalized a broader burden of guilt beyond her own direct actions.

Lady Macbeth's downfall can be further understood through James Scott's concept of "hidden transcripts," as discussed by Mills (56). While Lady Macbeth initially exerts informal power through manipulation and psychological control, her authority is largely performative, designed for Macbeth's benefit, not sustained through institutional legitimacy. Her public assertiveness masks a hidden vulnerability that gradually emerges in private, culminating in psychological collapse. As Mills interprets Scott, true analysis of power must account not only for the dominant public performances but also for the concealed discourses, the internalized fears and critiques that remain unspoken in front of others (Mills 56). Lady Macbeth's famous descent into guilt and madness ("Out, damned spot!") reveals this hidden transcript beneath the mask of strength lies a repressed moral reckoning. Her power, initially theatrical and persuasive, becomes unsustainable as it lacks ethical grounding and cannot withstand isolation from shared dominance. Once Macbeth acts independently, her influence fades, stripped of her role as motivator, she is left powerless within the very structure she helped build. Thus, her trajectory illustrates how strategic performance of power, if not rooted in authentic agency or mutual recognition, ultimately fragments the self and dissolves the illusion of control (*Macbeth 5.1.30-35*).

Throughout the play, Lady Macbeth transforms from a commanding partner to a figure consumed by remorse and mental anguish. The driven impulse for power that once defined her begins to erode as the superego imposes shame and emotional collapse. Her grief becomes embodied, not just in her words but in her physical actions, haunted by Duncan's blood, she relives the murders in her sleep, unable to find peace (qtd. in Jose 5–7).

The interaction between Lady Macbeth and Macbeth also reflects inner psychological dynamics. While Macbeth wrestles with his conscience, Lady Macbeth initially suppresses hers, choosing instead to repress her moral boundaries. Their dialogue suggests less of a shared plan and more of a psychological negotiation, each pushing the other across ethical thresholds (Shekhawat 3).

Furthermore, Lady Macbeth's character disrupts conventional gender roles. Her assertion of traditionally masculine traits, ruthlessness, dominance, and control, generates what Freud termed the "uncanny". Meaning the eerie feeling evoked when the familiar becomes disturbingly unfamiliar. Her initial strength and eventual collapse do not simply reflect guilt, but a return of the repressed and moral consciousness. This tension makes her both terrifying and tragic. Shakespeare uses Lady Macbeth to question the gender norms of Elizabethan society, portraying her as both a foil to Macbeth and a disturbing embodiment of disorder (qtd. in AlShalan 5–7). While this may offer one interpretation, it also raises

important questions about how we, as readers and critics, view gender and power. What does it reveal about cultural assumptions when Lady Macbeth is perceived as “uncanny” precisely because she adopts traditionally masculine traits? Is such reading too narrow, relying solely on psychological frameworks and does it risk overlooking the broader structural forces at play?

In the end, Lady Macbeth’s descent is complete. Her obsessive rituals and fear of darkness symbolize her inability to escape the psychological prison she has helped construct. She dissolves into existential fear, revealing a fractured identity struggling with inner torment. Her suicide becomes the inevitable outcome of a psyche overwhelmed by suppressed guilt, unfulfilled ambition, and moral disintegration (Jose 15–17). Her agony is not only a manifestation of personal regret but also reflects a broader moral collapse driven by the abandonment of ethical boundaries (Boyuan 3–4).

Ethics, power and moral boundaries

The moral collapse in *Macbeth* is not merely the product of external ambition but emerges from a gradual disconnection from moral reasoning. As Landiss outlines through Thomas Reid’s moral philosophy, individuals do not necessarily need formal reasoning to distinguish right from wrong; rather, such knowledge is inscribed in the human heart and perceived intuitively (qtd. in Landiss 3–4). Reid's concept that moral truths, such as "murder is wrong" can be recognized with the same immediacy as sensory perception, suggests that Macbeth's decision to murder Duncan represents a wilful suppression of a moral faculty rather than an ethical ambiguity (qtd. in Landiss 4). The betrayal of these internal convictions is further enabled by Lady Macbeth, who not only dismisses moral instincts but redefines ambition and violence as superior virtues. Her reasoning diverges entirely from self-evident moral principles, constructing a distorted ethical code that encourages Macbeth to embrace violence as a path to manhood (Landiss 12).

“Your hand, your tongue; look like the innocent flower, But be the serpent under’t” (*Macbeth* 1.5.71-65). This line offers insight into Lady Macbeth’s philosophy of power through deception. With calculated precision, she instructs Macbeth to maintain a facade of innocence while concealing treacherous intent. The imagery of a flower and a serpent suggests a deliberate disjunction between appearance and reality, one that challenges the ethical expectation of alignment between inner virtue and outward expression. Lady Macbeth promotes a strategic duality, to succeed, one must master the art of performative goodness while harbouring ruthless ambition beneath the surface. This vision is deeply manipulative,

repositioning morality not as a guiding force but as an obstacle to be masked or bypassed. Ethically, the statement is chilling. It reveals Lady Macbeth's willingness to manipulate both moral language and emotional bonds to achieve her goals. She instructs Macbeth to separate his actions from his identity, encouraging him to maintain a noble exterior even while planning treachery. This psychological fragmentation is key to their downfall, by severing ethics from action, they lose the moral cohesion that sustains the self. Thus, Lady Macbeth not only redefines ambition as the highest virtue but also constructs a framework in which deceit becomes the path to power.

This manipulation distorts the natural workings of conscience and replaces it with a philosophy more aligned with Nietzschean amorality, where ambition justifies moral transgression (qtd. In Landiss 12). Lady Macbeth thereby restructures the ethical framework surrounding Macbeth, guiding him toward actions that fundamentally challenge the core of the Elizabethan moral order (Putra 4–5). The eventual psychological collapse of both Macbeth and Lady Macbeth supports Reid's assertion that the neglect of the moral faculty leads to the fracturing of the self (Landiss 3-4). As the initial instigator of ethical transgression, Lady Macbeth becomes consumed by guilt, ultimately revealing that the voice of conscience cannot be permanently suppressed (Kaličanin et al 16). This is powerfully expressed in her haunting admission, "Whats done, cannot be undone. To bed, to bed, to bed." (*Macbeth* 5.1.59-65), which conveys her desperate recognition of the irreversible nature of their crime. Moreover, her lament, "All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand" (*Macbeth* 5.1.58-50), captures the inescapable guilt that consumes her.

From a broader ethical lens, as Putra emphasizes, Lady Macbeth's appeal to spirits and her cold detachment from the murder reflect a violent deviation from Elizabethan values, which viewed moral education as essential to character (Putra 4–5). Her actions, devoid of remorse in the moment, illustrate how unrestrained ambition can override ethical boundaries and blur distinctions between right and wrong.

When informal leaders fail to build trust over time

Lady Macbeth nevertheless operates as a powerful informal leader, applying manipulation and rhetorical strategies to influence Macbeth and alter the course of events, exemplifying how unofficial leadership can profoundly affect both individuals and groups (Sen 6). She expresses her extreme determination and willingness to cross all boundaries to drive Macbeth to action when she declares that she "I would, while it was smiling in my face, Have plucked the nipple from is boneless gums. And dashed the brains out, had I so sworn. As you have

done to this" (*Macbeth* 1.7.60-55). This shows how she again uses emotional manipulation to reinforce her power as an informal leader.

Debadrita Sen highlights the strategic communication skills Lady Macbeth employs, suggesting that her influence over Macbeth demonstrates how persuasive communication is important for leadership, particularly in high-stakes environments. She also points out that modern leaders can use similar tactics, though in a more ethical way, to inspire and motivate their teams (Sen 6). Macbeth himself acknowledges the painful turmoil and inner chaos that Lady Macbeths influence creates in him when he says that his mind is "full of scorpions" (*Macbeth* 3.2.46). This clearly illustrates the psychological burden and stress resulting from their destructive Partnership.

Similarly, Kumar et al. apply McClelland's Theory of Needs to argue that Lady Macbeth intensifies Macbeth's need for power, emphasizing how informal leaders often exploit personal motivations to achieve broader goals (qtd. in Kumar et al. 3). This manipulation underlines the potential dangers that arise when an informal leader acts with selfish or unethical intentions. As Kumar et al. highlights, Lady Macbeth's and Macbeth's actions are dominated by their need for power, which leads them to commit serious crimes and ultimately to their downfall (Kumar et al. 6).

Gustaf Hagel's comparison between authentic and transformational leadership further frames Lady Macbeth's character; although she inspires Macbeth to action, her motivation serves destructive purposes and lacks the moral integrity that defines authentic leadership (Hagel, 18). Consequently, her influence leads not to collective growth but to moral decay and societal collapse, as well as affecting their relationship (Sen 2). Gustaf Hagel argues that although both Macbeth and Lady Macbeth attain royal status, it comes at a devastating personal cost. Lady Macbeth comes to realize the extent of her loss that is her influence, her relationship and her sense of control over Macbeth (Hagel 18).

These perspectives resonate with Simon Sinek's argument in Leaders Eat Last, where he emphasizes that trust and integrity are foundational to effective leadership. Sinek asserts that trust is not immediate but must be built over time through consistent and ethical behaviour, with a leader's primary responsibility being to create an environment where individuals feel safe and valued (Sinek 189). Lady Macbeth's manipulation, driven by ambition rather than integrity, undermines this essential trust, ultimately leading to personal downfall and societal ruin. Her character vividly illustrates how the absence of ethical leadership among informal leaders can result in catastrophic consequences for both individuals and the broader community.

Lady Macbeth issues a command rather than a suggestion when she says, “But screw your courage to the sticking place, And we’ll not fail” (*Macbeth* 1.7.61-65). This highlights her dominant role in the couple’s power dynamic. This moment illustrates what Foucault, as interpreted by Mills (50), describes as a form of relational and discursive power. Power that is exercised rather than possessed, emerging through psychological manipulation rather than formal structures. The line is both metaphorical and demanding in tone. It illustrates her ability to lead not through formal authority but by exploiting Macbeth’s insecurities and redirecting his hesitation into decisive action. Her informal leadership hinges on psychological manipulation, she casts doubt on his masculinity and honour to propel him toward murder. The ethical implications are stark. Rather than considering the moral gravity of regal murder, Lady Macbeth reframes the situation as a test of personal willpower. She dissolves ethical reflection in favour of outcome-driven thinking, reducing the act of murder to a strategic necessity. Here, we witness a leader who prioritizes ambition over conscience. Her confidence in success “and we’ll not fail” is less about assurance and more about insistence. There is no space for uncertainty or guilt in her vision of power. Instead, she offers Macbeth a false sense of control that hinges on suppressing fear and morality. By eliminating doubt through forceful rhetoric, she destabilizes Macbeth’s ethical grounding. Her language thus becomes a vehicle for restructuring his internal moral compass, aligning it with her vision of dominance.

The decision to murder Duncan is, as mentioned by Debadrita Sen, an act driven by ambition; however, it highlights the ethical dilemmas faced by individuals in positions of power. The internal conflict described in Macbeth can likewise be applied to Lady Macbeth and illustrates the conflict of prioritizing personal ambition over ethical considerations (Sen 7). However, her psychological decline cannot be fully understood without also considering the gendered constraints that she navigates.

Informal Leadership through a Feminist Lens

Feminist literary theory, as presented by Peter Barry, offers a valuable lens for analysing characters who navigate and challenge gendered power structures (123). Lady Macbeth, as portrayed in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*, exemplifies this kind of challenge, using her understanding of gender expectations to manipulate and influence Macbeth’s actions. According to Barry’s summary of feminist criticism, such readings often focus on how female characters resist or subvert traditional gender roles (124). Viewed through this lens, Lady Macbeth’s informal leadership can be interpreted as a strategy to assert influence within a

society that restricts women's access to formal power. By performing a traditionally feminine role, associated with passivity and submission, she conceals her manipulative intentions and strengthens her control over Macbeth. As Barry summarize, feminist critics often argue that women, constrained by patriarchal norms, may hide their voices behind male-dominated forms of influence rather than confront these structures openly (125). As Lady Macbeth declares, "He brings great news. The raven himself is hoarse That croaks the fatal entrance of Duncan Under my battlements. Come, you spirits That tend on mortal thoughts, unsex me here" (*Macbeth* 1.5.32-40), she reveals an awareness that her gender role is a conscious construction.

Returning to Mills, she argues that gender plays a central role in power dynamics. Feminist theorists influenced by Foucault, such as Judith Butler, maintain that gender is not something one possesses, but something one performs within specific contexts (qtd. in Mills 51). In this light, Lady Macbeth's plea to "unsex me here" is not merely a desire to shed femininity, but a strategic performance of masculinity (*Macbeth* 1.5.32-40). By invoking this transformation, she enacts a gender-transgressive identity that directly challenges the normative gender structures of her time. Her rejection of traditional female passivity and her embrace of aggressive masculinity serve as a means to gain the authority and power she believes necessary to achieve her goals. In performing masculinity, Lady Macbeth not only seeks to gain influence over Macbeth but also to position herself as a dominant force within a patriarchal structure that typically marginalizes women. Her aversion to traditional feminine weakness is clearly expresses when she says, "I shame to wear a heart so white" (*Macbeth* 2.2.66-65), revealing her shame at being fearful or hesitant. This demonstrates how she actively challenges the norms of femininity by rejecting fear and weakness, instead adopting a tougher and more masculine stance in order to attain power. Her transformation, thus, becomes an embodiment of gender performativity, illustrating how power can be gained by transgressing and reconfiguring gender norms. Her downfall illustrates the unsustainability of such performances within a system where power remains fundamentally gendered. She is not legitimized as a powerful figure but rather stigmatized as monstrous. Her involvement in Duncan's murder illustrates how informal leaders, particularly those restricted by societal expectations of gender often rely on strategies such as persuasion and psychological manipulation to influence decisions and shape outcomes.

Similarly, Cristina León Alfar points out that Lady Macbeth uses societal expectations of gender to mask her authority, revealing how informal leadership can develop through challenging traditional norms (Alfar 13). Through her performance of gender, she asserts a

hidden but powerful form of influence. Several critics, including Klein and Williamson, emphasize the shift in Lady Macbeth's influence after the murder of Duncan (qtd. in Alfar 15). Macbeth himself acknowledge this changing dynamic when he states, "We have scorched the snake, not killed it: She'll close, and be herself, whilst our poor malice. Remains in danger of her former tooth" (*Macbeth* 3.2.21-15). What is argued is that Macbeth's growing independence and secretive plotting push Lady Macbeth aside, ultimately leading to her psychological breakdown. According to this view, her madness is a result of being rejected as both wife and partner in ambition. Attempts to support Macbeth and maintain influence, even when she no longer knows the details of his plans. Her eventual failure, then, does not simply mark a return to passive femininity, but rather reveals the instability of power built on adopting masculine roles that are ultimately unsustainable (Alfar 15). An interesting passage appears in an exchange between Lady Macbeth and Macbeth in Act 3, where Lady Macbeth asks, "What's to be done?" and Macbeth replies, "Be innocent of the knowledge, dearest chuck" (*Macbeth* 3.2.46-45). Here, Macbeth clearly positions her as an outsider rather than an equal partner, suggesting she is no longer part of the decision-making process. According to the edition by Sandra Clark and Pamela Mason, "chuck" is a term of endearment although an unusual choice for an aristocratic couple such as Lady Macbeth and Macbeth. They note that the term is typically used by the more dominant character toward the less powerful one (Clark and Mason 213). This further emphasizes the shift in power between them and highlights how their relationship has evolved. As Lady Macbeth's position shifts even further from that of an active leader to a passive observer, as she is forced to wait for Macbeth's actions like a bystander. Her earlier attempts to claim power by adopting a traditionally masculine role are ultimately undermined by the fact that her role still demands submission to Macbeth's desires. Her role becomes one of reflecting and reinforcing her husband's ambitions, never her own. From this perspective, her descent into madness should not be read as a stereotypical "feminine" breakdown, but rather as a symptom of the cultural and relational constraints imposed upon her (Alfar 16). She is trapped in a structure where she must constantly mirror Macbeth's needs, whether as a manipulative instigator or a silent supporter. Her madness and eventual suicide does not emerge from personal weakness, but from the collapse of an identity built entirely around someone else's will (Alfar 17).

Lady Macbeth can also be understood as a symbolic reflection of the internal disorder that Macbeth himself creates. Her strength is not inherent but performative, something she adopts to push Macbeth forward. When Macbeth hesitates, she steps into the dominant role he is expected to fill, not because she naturally holds that power, but because their shared

ambition demands it. As Macbeth grows more independent in his violence, he no longer relies on her encouragement, and Lady Macbeth is excluded from further decision-making. Her diminishing influence, combined with the emotional weight she internalizes, contributes to her psychological collapse. Rather than remaining an active participant, she becomes the embodiment for the guilt and instability unleashed by Macbeth's unchecked ambition (Huang 95).

Finally, both Catherine E. Thomas and Yuhe Cheng explore how gendered power structures force Lady Macbeth to lead informally rather than formally. Thomas highlights her rhetorical mastery as central to her leadership (Thomas 1), while Cheng discusses how Lady Macbeth's ultimate downfall reflects the limitations and dangers faced by women who exert influence outside traditional frameworks (Cheng 2). Although some modern interpretations have viewed Lady Macbeth as an early feminist figure due to her ambition and assertiveness, such readings risk overlooking the deep-rooted patriarchal structures that shape her character. Rather than demonstrating a feminist awakening, Lady Macbeth's identity is constructed in relation to her husband, as already signalled by her title. She is not given a personal name in the play but is instead known solely as Lady Macbeth, this underscores her dependence on Macbeth and the male-dominated social order. The lack of individual identity reflects broader historical patterns in which women were stripped of their names and defined by their husbands. Shakespeare's use of this convention suggests that Lady Macbeth's agency is not independent, but rather mediated through and limited by her role as a wife. Her ambition, therefore, cannot be fully separated from the patriarchal context in which it is exercised. Instead of subverting male authority, she operates within its boundaries, ultimately reinforcing the very structures that deny her subjectivity (Cheng 4). Catherine E. Thomas argues that Lady Macbeth continues to embody society's mixed feelings of admiration and fear towards women's rights, power, and professional success. She unsettles us, just as she unsettled those before us, due to her perceived ability to empower the feminine while diminishing the masculine (Thomas 3).

Reflections on informal leadership

As the analysis of Lady Macbeth unfolds, it becomes increasingly clear that informal leadership is neither inherently empowering nor necessarily constructive. While it may serve as a powerful means of influence beyond formal hierarchies, it also entails significant ethical risks, particularly when exercised without accountability or grounded values. Lady Macbeth's journey illustrates how informal leadership can be simultaneously effective and corrosive,

capable of shaping outcomes yet vulnerable to psychological collapse and moral disintegration.

Lady Macbeth's role invites a reconsideration of traditional assumptions about power and leadership, especially when these are filtered through gendered and relational lenses. She embodies both the potential and the peril of leading from the margins, her ability to shape decisions is undeniable, but so too is the personal cost of operating within a system that refuses to legitimise her authority.

This reflection underscores a central insight, informal leadership, especially when performed within oppressive frameworks, cannot rely solely on strategy or persuasion. As both Simon Sinek and Debadritra Sen have argued, its sustainability depends on trust, ethical grounding, and a sense of shared purpose, qualities that Lady Macbeth ultimately lacks. In this way, her character not only dramatizes the mechanics of influence but also illuminates the deeper tensions between ambition, morality, and the quest for recognition.

Conclusion

Lady Macbeth emerges in this analysis as a complex figure of informal leadership, one that operates outside formal structures yet wields significant influence through psychological manipulation, gendered rhetoric, and strategic persuasion. Drawing on leadership theory, discourse analysis, and psychoanalytic perspectives, this essay has shown how her power both challenges and reinforces the patriarchal order in which she operates.

Firstly, Lady Macbeth demonstrates how influence can be exerted effectively without institutional authority. Her ability to motivate and guide Macbeth's actions underscores the potential of informal leadership rooted in psychological insight and relational intelligence.

Secondly, her leadership is shaped and expressed through gendered discourse. By both performing and subverting traditional femininity, she navigates within patriarchal constraints, gaining agency, but at the cost of her stability and identity.

Thirdly, the consequences of this leadership are profound. Both Macbeth's moral deterioration and Lady Macbeth's psychological collapse reveal the dangers of power exercised without ethical grounding. Their trajectory highlights the internal toll of transgressive leadership driven by guilt, fear, and alienation.

At the same time, Lady Macbeth's story points to an important gap in current scholarship. There remains a need for continued exploration, particularly research that centres informal leadership through a female lens. Her experience demonstrates how influence operates outside formal structures and is affected by complex, often invisible, gendered power

dynamics. Studying such cases in depth can offer a richer and more nuanced understanding of how leadership emerges and evolves within constrained or unofficial spaces, especially when shaped by intersecting social expectations and limitations.

Finally, her downfall illustrates the ethical limitations of informal leadership within oppressive gender norms. While dramatized within a literary context, Lady Macbeth's collapse mirrors the real-world consequences of influence exercised without conscience. As such, she continues to serve as a provocative and enduring figure for both literary scholars and contemporary leadership thinkers alike.

In sum, Lady Macbeth's role in *Macbeth* exemplifies the dual potential of informal leadership, its ability to shape events from the margins and its susceptibility to moral and psychological erosion when practiced under constrained and inequitable conditions. By integrating literary analysis with leadership theory, this essay contributes to a broader understanding of how gender, power, and influence intersect in literature and how such representations continue to resonate with contemporary discussions in organizational, ethical, and social contexts.

Work cited

Alfar, Cristina León. "'Blood Will Have Blood': Power, Performance, and Lady Macbeth's Gender Trouble." *Journal X*, vol. 2, no. 2, 1997, p. 4.

AlShalan, Amjad. "Unmasking the Psyche of Shakespeare's Richard III and Lady Macbeth through Freud's 'The Uncanny.'" *Arab World English Journal for Translation & Literary Studies*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2024.

Bafandeh Zendeh, Alireza, and Hamed Saghafi Oskuie. "A Model for Identification of Informal Groups' Leaders." *International Journal of Organizational Leadership 6* (2017):176-189.

Barry, Peter. Beginning Theory: An Introduction to Literary and Cultural Theory. 4th ed., Manchester University Press, 2017.

Boyuan, N. W. "Analyzing Lady Macbeth's Demise: A Freudian Exploration." *US-China Foreign Language*, vol. 22, no. 5, 2024.

Cheng, Yuhe. "A Feminist Study of Lady Macbeth." *SHS Web of Conferences*, vol. 158, 2023.

Hagel, Gustaf. Transformational Leadership in Shakespeare: A Contrastive Study of Authentic and Pseudotransformational Leadership in Shakespeare's Richard III and Macbeth. 2015. Master's thesis, Uppsala University.

Huang, Min. "A Battle Against One's Soul: An Analysis of Lady Macbeth's Functions as the Other Self to Macbeth." *International Journal of English Linguistics*, vol. 12, no. 5, 2022, pp. 89–94.

Jose, Rhea Alphonsa. "Unchecked Ambition and the Descent into Madness: A Psychological Exploration of Shakespeare's Macbeth." *Department of Psychology,* Stella Maris College, 28 Oct. 2024. Unpublished manuscript. ResearchGate.

Kaličanin, Milena, and Nina Miladinović. "Moral Corruption in Shakespeare's Macbeth." *Belgrade English Language and Literature Studies*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2019, pp. 199–225.

Kumar, Om, Muhammad Usama, and Riaz Ali. “Applying McClelland’s Theory of Needs to Shakespeare’s Macbeth: Analyzing the Pursuit of Power, Achievement, and Affiliation in the Tragic Downfall of Key Characters.” *International Journal of Sustainable Applied Science*. Vol. 2, no. 10, 2024, pp. 973-980.

Landiss, Claire. “A Reidian Reading of Shakespeare's Macbeth: Exploring the Moral Faculty through Philosophy and Drama.” *Journal of Scottish Philosophy*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2013, pp. 145–166.

Mills, Sara. *Michel Foucault*. Routledge, 2003.

Putra, Suatmo Pantja. “A Study on Moral Values as Reflected by Two Main Characters in William Shakespeare’s *Macbeth*.” EnJourMe (English Journal of Merdeka): Culture, Language, and Teaching of English, vol. 4, no. 1, 2019, pp. 37–42.

Sen, Debadrita. “Contextualizing Shakespeare’s *Macbeth* to Meet the Need for Strategic Communication in the Contemporary Professional World.” *Journal for Research Scholars and Professionals of English Language Teaching*, Vol.8, Issue 45, 2024.

Shekhawat, Anjani Ajay Singh, and Sneha Nathawat. “A Study of the Psychological Effect: The Lady Macbeth Effect.” *International Journal of Health Sciences*, vol. 6, no. S5, 2022, pp. 4339–4346.

Shakespeare, William. *Macbeth*. Edited by Sandra Clark and Pamela Mason, 3rd ed., Arden Shakespeare, 2015.

Sinek, Simon. *Leaders Eat Last: Why Some Teams Pull Together and Others Don’t*. Penguin Books, 2017.

Thomas, Catherine E. “(Un)Sexing Lady Macbeth: Gender, Power, and Visual Rhetoric in Her Graphic Afterlives.” Upstart Crow: *A Shakespeare Journal*, vol. 31, Jan. 2012, pp. 81–102. EBSCOhost

Terms of publishing

1. Mid Sweden University collaborates with DiVA (Digitala Vetenskapliga Arkivet). DiVA is a digital repository for the registration and storage of research publications and independent works, and in DiVA, the documents can be made public.

2. By uploading and publishing the author's works in DiVA, these are made available to the public.

The expression "accessible to the public" means that virtually everyone has the opportunity to read the work. In this sense, the scientific community is seen as "the public". The author retains the copyright, and the public's use of the information in DiVA is regulated by the Copyright Act (See also bullet 9).

3. It is the responsibility of the author to hold the required copyright to publish the work in DiVA.

Since the author does not transfer any part of the copyright, the university/DiVA is not responsible for any violation of copyright rules regarding the author's work. The university only provides the platform, which means that the author is "the one who publishes" in DiVA.

4. It is the responsibility of the examiner to send the approved work, in full text, to the relevant faculty administrator.

It is the responsibility of the author to make sure that the work has been approved for publication; theses, degree projects and the like must be approved for publication before they are posted in DiVA. The faculty administrator is responsible for uploading and publishing the approved full text in DiVA.

5. The publication in DiVA rests on non-commercial grounds.

The university does not charge the author any fees for the publication in DiVA. The author is not entitled to financial compensation from the university for publishing in DiVA. The university does not have the right to charge fees for the public's use of the author's work in DiVA.

6. The university has the right to remove the author's work from DiVA if the author violates the terms of publishing.

According to the university's instructions for publishing in DiVA, the author is obliged to read and approve the Terms and Conditions of Publishing. These is confirmed by pressing a button in DiVA's registration module when uploading full text file.

7. The person who posts the full text in DiVA is responsible for ensuring that all authors of the work have been informed about and approved to the terms of publishing.

This regulates the responsibilities in multi-author works and such cases where someone else than the author, on their behalf, publishes the work in DiVA.

8. The author has the opportunity to refrain from part of his or her rights to the work.

By adding a special license to the work, such as Creative Commons, the authors can grant users the right to use the work within a wider framework than in accordance with the Copyright Act.

9. The terms of publishing apply when applicable, even if the university should transfer to a system solution other than DiVA.

Metadata and uploaded files should then be transferred to the new system.